Divide et...abi: India, Pakistan....and Cyprus.

A British Coincidence? Some Thoughts

Dimitrios Parashu

Once more, India and Pakistan find themselves in a clash situation presently. This follows a history of friction, including several warlike situtions (e.g. 1947-1949, a good part of the 1960's, the early 1970's etc.), focusing mainly (but not exclusively) on the Kashmir region. Comparable clashes emerged between the Greek and Turkish Cypriots from 1963 on, culminating in the 1974 tragedy and division of Cyprus. Is there a, and if so, which would be the common denominator to both stories?

Indian context¹

The 1858 Government of India Act had already emphasised that absolute control and power in India were located in hands of the British Crown; it further laid the groundwork for the following decades of British administration there. Consecutive public legal acts led the British to ultimately relinquish power, through the 1947 Indian Independence Act: Based on its Sec. 1, two separate Dominions, namely India and Pakistan, were established. This was further concretised through Sec. 2-3. Hence, to also mirror the religious component, a partition between secular India and muslim Pakistan was created. This partition did not mirror historic realities of previous centuries, though: For instance, the Maharadja of Kashmir, himself a Hindu, preferred Kashmir becoming a part of secular India; in 1949, under the *aegis* of the United Nations, it was divided by the Karachi agreement², later (1972) consolidated through the Simla agreement, as it is the current *status* (which the ongoing situation in April 2025 has put in jeopardy).

From the Indian Independence Act on, commencing on 15 August 1947, India became the autonomous as well as independent Dominion of India. An Assembly of 389 members (296 from British India and a minority of 93 from the Indian princely states) was afterwards elected indirectly within the Provincial Legislative Assembly, in order to create the Indian Constitution. After the latter was adopted by the Indian Constituent Assembly on 26 November 1949, it came to effect on 26 January 1950, forming the beginning of the Indian Republic.

¹ Cf. in the following *Dimitrios Parashu*, The Constitution of India at 75: An Analysis, Berlin 2024, pp. 2 et seq./100 et seq.

² Annex 26 of UNCIP Third Report - S/1430 Add 1 to 3.

This Constitution has proved to belong to the most functional ones worldwide; Art. 370 et seqq. of it have included certain more specific constitutional provisions for various Indian States, including the (temporarily meant) special status of the Princely State of Jammu & Kashmir. This provision led to the fact that the people of Jammu & Kashmir were governed by a special set of laws, regarding matters of (*e.g.*) citizenship and property. A variety of Presidential Orders had been issued in respect to Jammu & Kashmir since 1950: Through such an Order of 5 August 2019, Art. 370 was effectively abrogated, putting Jammu & Kashmir under the *aegis* of the Indian Constitution.

Cypriot context³

The Cypriot Republic (Κυπριακή Δημοκρατία/Kıbrıs Cumhuriyeti), one of the younger members of the European Union since 1 May 2004, has had a turbulent history, not just since its independence from the United Kingdom in 1960. It is well known that since the summer of 1974 the island has been *de facto* divided into two geographical parts: the Greek south (internationally recognised as the Republic of Cyprus) and the Turkish north (recognised only by Ankara as an independent "republic").

The birth of the Cypriot Republic was marked by the Zurich conclusion of relevant negotiations (11 February 1959) and the London Lancaster House Agreement (19 February 1959), through which the United Kingdom sought to grant independence to its former (from 1878/1914 on) Crown Colony. The Agreement, which one could easily call sort of a Cyprus Independence Act, in analogy to the aforementioned Indian one, contained general principles of state organisation, the 'Basic Structure of the Republic of Cyprus'.

Following that, unlike the more democratic Indian Constitution creation, various commissions were established - especially a 'mixed' one - in order to lay the groundwork for Cyprus's future Constitution: the latter commission consisted of representatives of the Kingdom of the Hellenes and the Turkish Republic, as well as the Greek Cypriot and Turkish population groups, and (as a neutral element) a representative from Switzerland (Professor *Bridel*, Lausanne). British influence on the commission remained apparent, though: The abovementioned 'Basic Structure' was vastly included in the text. The commission's work commenced on 20 April 1959, and the draft was ultimately finalised and signed on 6 April 1960, effective 1 October 1960.

The 1960 Constitution, which is still in effect, proved to be the cradle of the Cyprus division which was to follow: The Constitution made institutional decision-making particularly difficult by including necessary bi-communal majorities that did not mirror neither historic realities of previous centuries, nor the contemporary population ratio, which meant that well over 80% on the island were Greek Cypriots (Orthodox Christians). It was therefore difficult

³ Cf. in the following *Dimitrios Parashu*, "Das zyprische Repräsentantenhaus zwischen Verfassungsvorgaben und Teilungsnöten: Entwicklungen seit 1960", in: "Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen (ZParl)", Vol. 4/2009, pp. 840-859;

cf. also *same author*, Aus Geschichte, Rechtsgrundlagen und Rechtsprechung des Verfassungsgerichtshofes der Zyprischen Republik (1960-1963). Jubiläumsschrift zum 75. Geburtstag von Jörg-Detlef Kühne, Berlin 2017 (*passim*).

to be applied. As early as 1963, President *Makarios III* presented a 13-point proposal for a constitutional amendment that was certainly necessary; ethnic unrest followed, and it is since then that Turkish Cypriots do no longer participate in the island's constitutional bodies and procedures. A plot by the Athenian military junta against *Makarios III* in July 1974 gave Turkey the possibility to partially occupy the island. Since then, several attempts to overcome the *de facto* division of Cyprus have failed.

Due to the emergency situation described above, from 1963 onwards, the Republic of Cyprus (and thus also its constitutionally established state organs) found itself forced to legally guarantee the continued existence of the constitutional order. Such could be achieved on the basis of emergency law,⁴ and the principle of "Salvus rei publicae suprema lex esto" was thus satisfied. An example:

Article 62 of the Constitution stipulates that the number of deputies to the Cyprus House of Representatives, which is the only legislative chamber, shall be 50 (*in praxi* 35 Greek Cypriots and 15 Turkish Cypriots), subject to a resolution by the House itself to increase this number; however, such a resolution would require a two-thirds majority of both Greek and Turkish Cypriot deputies. Article 182 of the Constitution requires at least 2/3 majorities of both, Greek and Turkish Cypriot members of the House of Representatives for any constitutional revision.

In the absolutely necessary order to stay functional, on 20 June 1985 the House of Representatives decided, by the required two-thirds majority of Greek Cypriot MPs, to increase its total number of seats to 80^5 , to "breathe life" into Article 62. Following the *de facto* division of the island, a relevant two-thirds majority of Turkish Cypriot MPs could not be obtained. As a result, 56 seats are now allocated to Greek Cypriot parliamentarians, and 24 to Turkish Cypriot ones, the latter seats remaining empty though.

A Common denominator?

India and Cyprus were British colonies for a rather lengthy time. Following the British significant loss of power in the 2nd part of the 20th century, both of them, as it happened to other parts of the world, were granted their independance. It came to a price, though: In both cases, an independence act was issued, causing - in the Indian case - a division into two Dominions (a division which especially in the Kashmir context does not mirror historic realities of previous centuries), and causing - in the Cypriot case - the establishment of a future Constitution which made a division of the island unevitable. Both cases, despite the United Nations being heavily involved in finding possible solutions, remain unresolved.

⁴ Cf. the case "The Attorney-General of the Republic vs Mustafa Ibrahim et al." (CLR 195).

⁵ Law No. 124/1985.